

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/818,765	03/27/2001	Shuichi Yamaguchi	448563/0191 2415	
7	7590 04/14/2006	EXAMINER		
	& STROOCK & LAVA	NGUYEN, LAM S		
180 Maiden Lane New York, NY 10038			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2853	
			DATEMAN ED AMAZONA	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·		٠
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>
Advisory Action	09/818,765	YAMAGUCHI ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	LAM S. NGUYEN	2853	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 17 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Now a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of expired the second content of the	owing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply make of the final rejection. Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 706.07(f).	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C ust be filed within one in the final rejection, when the final rejection in the final rejection in the final rejection. The final rejection is FIRST REPLY WAS Filed in the appropriation in the a	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following sichever is later. In on. FILED WITHIN
under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	shortened statutory period for reply origer than three months after the mailing da	inally set in the final Offi	ice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	121. See attached Notice of Non-Co):		

non-allowable claim(s).
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected:
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
13. Other:

non-allowable claim(s).

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant argued that Kiner failed to suggest the step involving pressing the ink bag with a pressing plate. The examiner's point of view is that Voller in view of Scheffelin et al. already teaches all steps of the claimed method including the step of discharging ink from the ink bag by pressing the ink bag due to pulling the spring to increase the negative pressure inside the ink bag, so that the pressure of the atmosphere would press the ink bag to discharge ink. The only difference between Voller in view of Scheffelin and the claimed invention thus is means to press the ink bag. In addition, Kirner's disclosure teaches pressing the ink bag to discharge ink by a pressing plate (even though, pressing the plate may remove bubbles from the ink as asserted by the applicant, but it also causes the ink inside the bag 9 to be discharged to the ink pipe 2). As a result, it is obvious that one of ordinary skill in the art would look to and rely upon Kirner's pressing plate for pressing the ink bag of Voller (in view of Scheffelin) to discharge ink.

LN 04/08/08

Haichi Pham HAI PHAM PRIMARY EXAMINER